	UNITED ST	TATES DISTRIC	CT COURT	U.S. DISTRIC	CT COURT
		_ District of	NEB	RASKICT OF	NEBRASKA
	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA			2008 FEB 28	PM 5: 41
	V.	ORDER	OF DETENTIC		
	DORIS JEAN WILLIAMS	Case	R OF DETENTIO 4:08CR3018	OFFICE OF	THE CEEKK
Īn	Defendant	40/0			
detenti	accordance with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 314 on of the defendant pending trial in this case.	12(1), a detention hearing has	been held. I conclude t	hat the following t	facts require the
	P	art I—Findings of Fact			
☐ (1)	The defendant is charged with an offense described	in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) and	has been convicted of	a 🔲 federal of	fense 🗌 state
	or local offense that would have been a federal offer a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 31	l 56(a)(4).	se to federal jurisdiction	n had existed the	hat is
	an offense for which the maximum sentence is I	ife imprisonment or death.			
	an offense for which a maximum term of impris	onment of ten years or more i	s prescribed in		<del></del>
	a felony that was committed after the defendant	had been convicted of two or	more prior federal offe	nses described in	18 U.S.C.
☐ (2)	§ 3142(I)(I)(A)-(U), or comparable state or loca	al offenses			
$\frac{1}{3}$	The offense described in finding (1) was committed A period of not more than five years has elapsed single the offense described in finding (1).	ce the detendant was on re	elease pending trial for a	a federal, state or l	local offense.
	tor the offense described in finding (1),				
[] (4)	(4) Findings Nos. (1), (2) and (3) establish a rebuttable presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the safety of (an) other person(s) and the community. I further find that the defendant has not rebutted this presumption.				
	y ( ) the possessory and the community, 1	Alternative Findings (A)	t has not reducted this pi	resumption.	
× (1)	There is probable cause to believe that t	the defendant has com	mitted an offense		
	for which a maximum term of impri under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c).	sonment of ten years of	or 21 U.S.C. Se	c. 801 et seq.	<del></del>
<b>X</b> (2)	The defendant has not rebutted the presumption estab	lished by finding 1 that no cor			eaconably accura
	the appearance of the defendant as required and the	safety of the community.		a conditions will to	casonably assure
	There is a serious risk that the defendant will make an	Alternative Findings (B)			
<ul> <li>(1) There is a serious risk that the defendant will not appear.</li> <li>(2) There is a serious risk that the defendant will endanger the safety of another person or the community.</li> </ul>					
	Part II_Written	Statement of Decree 6	- D.44		
I fin	d that the credible testimony and information submitte	n Statement of Reasons for			_
derance	of the evidence that		y clear and conv	incing evidence	☐ a prepon-
	- let waive	d hop + a	greed to	destent	ion
			<u>/</u>		
	Part III—I	Directions Regarding Dete	ention		
The	delendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney G	eneral or his designated		it in a corrections fa	acility separate.
reasonab	le opportunity for private consultation with defense of	ounsel. On order of a court	ody pending appeal. [1]	he defendant shal	l be afforded a
	nent, the person in charge of the corrections facility shatten with a court proceeding.	all deliver the defendant to the	e United States marshal	for the purpose of	an appearance
	- / Sol	///	2 00		• •
	2/28/07	1/2/1/2011	1/1	4	
<u> </u>	Date	W Com Xigna	ture of Judicial Officer	<u> </u>	
	-	David L. Pie	ster, U.S. Magistrate Ju	dge	
		Name and	d Title of Judicial Office	r	

<sup>\*</sup>Insert as applicable: (a) Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. § 801 et seq.); (b) Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. § 951 et seq.); or (c) Section 1 of Act of Sept. 15, 1980 (21 U.S.C. § 955a).